

LIBRARY MATERIALS SELECTION AND ADOPTION**LIBRARY MATERIALS SELECTION AND ADOPTION**

The Wakefield School District recognizes that the primary objective of the Paul School Library Media Center is to implement, enrich, and support the diverse student and teacher population. The responsibility for the selection and coordination of resources is delegated to the Library Media Specialist who is employed by the district and professionally trained in this capacity. The role of the Library Media Specialist is to protect the freedom of staff and students to seek information in a safe environment and to protect their freedoms to seek said information. The current Paul School Library book catalogue can be found at <https://paulschool.goalexandria.com/scout>

The Wakefield School District subscribes to the Library Bill of Rights, the Freedom to Read Statement, the School Library Bill of Rights, and the Right to Read Act; the first two from the American Library Association (ALA), the third from American Association of School Librarians (AASL), and the fourth supported by the ALA and AASL.

Objectives of Selection:

The primary objective of library resources is to support, enrich, and help implement the educational program of the school through the interaction of professional personnel and other members of the school community. It is the duty of library media staff to provide students with a wide range of materials at varying levels of difficulty, with diversity of appeal, and allowing for the presentation of different points of view.

To this end, the Wakefield School Board affirms that it is the responsibility of its professional library staff:

- A. To provide materials that will enrich and support the curriculum, taking into consideration the varied interests, abilities, learning styles, and levels of the students served;
- B. To provide materials that will stimulate growth in factual knowledge, literary appreciation, aesthetic values, and societal standards;
- C. To provide materials on various sides of controversial issues so that young citizens may have an opportunity to develop, under guidance, the practice of critical analysis and to make informed judgments in their daily lives; therefore, students will have the opportunity to request information in a safe environment free of judgment and opinion.
- D. To provide materials representative of the many religious, ethnic, and cultural groups who contribute to our national heritage and the world community;
- E. To place principle above personal opinion and reason above prejudice in the selection of materials of the highest quality in order to assure a comprehensive collection appropriate to the school community.
- F. To select materials in all formats, including up-to-date, high quality, varied literature to develop and strengthen a love of reading.

Mary Collins, Chairperson
Brennan Peaslee, Vice Chairperson
Sandra Taliaferro
Bob Ouellette
Robert DeColfmacker

Adopted by the Board: 14 March 2001
Revised by the Board: 6 May 2002
Revised by the Board: 16 May 2012
Approved: January 3, 2023

Responsibility for Selection:

While the selection process of materials may involve administrators, students, school staff, and/or community members, the responsibility for coordinating and recommending the selection and purchase of library media materials rests with the Library Media Specialist.

Criteria for Selection of Library Resources:

The Library Media Specialist subscribes to the guidelines set forth by their professional library organizations for selection of materials. All library materials will be judged by these guidelines and the criteria outlined below and will be accepted or rejected by those criteria: The selection of library materials by the professional library media specialist is based on:

- Enrichment and support of the curriculum.
- Accurate and unbiased depiction of diversity and nature of society.
- Relevance to the interests of staff and students.
- Recommendations based on a preview examination of materials by professional library staff, adults with expertise, or students.
- Diverse representative viewpoints on controversial issues.
- Contribution to multicultural awareness.
- High degree of potential user appeal.
- Quality format, durability, and variety of format.

Gifted materials shall be judged on the same criteria outlined above in the policy and rejected or accepted by those criteria.

Selection is an ongoing process that should include the removal of materials no longer relevant to the needs of staff and students and the replacement of lost or worn materials still of informational value.

Procedures for Selection of Learning Resources:

In selecting learning resources, library professional personnel will evaluate available resources and curriculum needs and will consult reputable, professionally prepared aids to selection and other appropriate sources. The following information can be found online at the respected associations.

Among sources to be considered are current reviewing media:

- American Library Association "Booklist" and "Subscription Bulletin"
- Horn Book Magazine
- Kirkus Reviews
- Booklist
- Library Journal
- School Library Journal
- American Library Association's -Young Adult Library Services Association Book Awards and Booklists
- New Hampshire Library Association Booklists and Awards
- Reading lists published by various general educational and departmental groups.

Other sources will be considered when appropriate. Whenever possible, the actual resource will be examined.

Mary Collins, Chairperson
Brennan Peaslee, Vice Chairperson
Sandra Taliaferro
Bob Ouellette
Robert DeColfmacker

Adopted by the Board: 14 March 2001
Revised by the Board: 6 May 2002
Revised by the Board: 16 May 2012
Approved: January 3, 2023

Legal References:

Adopted: October 5, 2010 NH Admin Rule, Section Ed 306.08 NH Admin Rule, Section ED 306.14(e)

Appendix A: Library Bill of Rights

The American Library Association affirms that all libraries are forums for information and ideas, and that the following basic policies should guide their services.

I. Books and other library resources should be provided for the interest, information, and enlightenment of all people of the community the library serves. Materials should not be excluded because of the origin, background, or views of those contributing to their creation.

II. Libraries should provide materials and information presenting all points of view on current and historical issues. Materials should not be proscribed or removed because of partisan or doctrinal disapproval.

III. Libraries should challenge censorship in the fulfillment of their responsibility to provide information and enlightenment.

IV. Libraries should cooperate with all persons and groups concerned with resisting abridgment of free expression and free access to ideas.

V. A person's right to use a library should not be denied or abridged because of origin, age, background, or views.

VI. Libraries which make exhibit spaces and meeting rooms available to the public they serve should make such facilities available on an equitable basis, regardless of the beliefs or affiliations of individuals or groups requesting their use.

VII. All people, regardless of origin, age, background, or views, possess a right to privacy and confidentiality in their library use. Libraries should advocate for, educate about, and protect people's privacy, safeguarding all library use data, including personally identifiable information.

Mary Collins, Chairperson
Brennan Peaslee, Vice Chairperson
Sandra Taliaferro
Bob Ouellette
Robert DeColfmacher

Adopted by the Board: 14 March 2001
Revised by the Board: 6 May 2002
Revised by the Board: 16 May 2012
Approved: January 3, 2023

Adopted June 19, 1939, by the ALA Council; amended October 14, 1944; June 18, 1948; February 2, 1961; June 27, 1967; January 23, 1980; January 29, 2019.

Inclusion of "age" reaffirmed January 23, 1996.

Although the Articles of the *Library Bill of Rights* are unambiguous statements of basic principles that should govern the service of all libraries, questions do arise concerning application of these principles to specific library practices. See the documents designated by the Intellectual Freedom Committee as Interpretations of the Library Bill of Rights (<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations>).

Access to Library Resources and Services for Minors: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights

The American Library Association supports equal and equitable access to all library resources and services by users of all ages. Library policies and procedures that effectively deny minors equal and equitable access to all library resources and services available to other users is in violation of the American Library Association's Library Bill of Rights. The American Library Association opposes all attempts to restrict access to library services, materials, and facilities based on the age of library users.

Article V of the Library Bill of Rights states, "A person's right to use a library should not be denied or abridged because of origin, age, background, or views." The right to use a library includes free access to, and unrestricted use of, all the services, materials, and facilities the library has to offer. Every restriction on access to, and use of, library resources, based solely on the chronological age, apparent maturity, educational level, literacy skills, emancipatory or other legal status of users violates Article V. This includes minors who do not have a parent or guardian available to sign a library card application or permission slip. Unaccompanied youth experiencing homelessness should be able to obtain a library card regardless of library policies related to chronological age.

School and public libraries are charged with the mission of providing services and resources to meet the diverse interests and informational needs of the communities they serve. Services, materials, and facilities that fulfill the needs and interests of library users at different stages in their personal development are a necessary part of providing library services and should be determined on an individual basis. Equitable access to all library resources and services should not be abridged based on chronological age, apparent maturity, educational level, literacy skills, legal status, or through restrictive scheduling and use policies.

Libraries should not limit the selection and development of library resources simply because minors will have access to them. A library's failure to acquire materials on the grounds that minors may be able to access those materials diminishes the credibility of the library in the community and restricts access for all library users.

Children and young adults unquestionably possess First Amendment rights, including the right to receive information through the library in print, sound, images, data, social media, online applications, games,

Mary Collins, Chairperson
Brennan Peaslee, Vice Chairperson
Sandra Taliaferro
Bob Ouellette
Robert DeColfmacker

Adopted by the Board: 14 March 2001
Revised by the Board: 6 May 2002
Revised by the Board: 16 May 2012
Approved: January 3, 2023

technologies, programming, and other formats.¹ Constitutionally protected speech cannot be suppressed solely to protect children or young adults from ideas or images a legislative body believes to be unsuitable for them.² Libraries and their library governing bodies should not resort to age restrictions in an effort to avoid actual or anticipated objections, because only a court of law can determine whether or not content is constitutionally protected.

Article VII of the Library Bill of Rights states, "All people, regardless of origin, age, background, or views, possess a right to privacy and confidentiality in their library use." This includes students and minors, who have a right to be free from any unreasonable intrusion into or surveillance of their lawful library use.³

The mission, goals, and objectives of libraries cannot authorize libraries and their governing bodies to assume, abrogate, or overrule the rights and responsibilities of parents and guardians. As "Libraries: An American Value" states, "We affirm the responsibility and the right of all parents and guardians to guide their own children's use of the library and its resources and services."⁴ Libraries and their governing bodies cannot assume the role of parents or the functions of parental authority in the private relationship between parent and child.

Librarians and their governing bodies shall ensure that only parents and guardians have the right and the responsibility to determine their children's—and only their children's—access to library resources. Parents and guardians who do not want their children to have access to specific library services, materials, or facilities should so advise their own children. Libraries and library governing bodies should not use rating systems to inhibit a minor's access to materials.⁵ Libraries and their governing bodies have a legal and professional obligation to ensure that all members of the communities they serve have free and equitable access to a diverse range of library resources and services that is inclusive, regardless of content, approach, or format. This principle of library service applies equally to all users, minors as well as adults. Lack of access to information can be harmful to minors. Libraries and their governing bodies must uphold this principle in order to provide adequate and effective service to minors.

1 Brown v. Entertainment Merchant's Association, et al. 564 U.S. 08-1448 (2011).

2 Erznoznik v. City of Jacksonville, 422 U.S. 205 (1975): "Speech that is neither obscene as to youths nor subject to some other legitimate proscription cannot be suppressed solely to protect the young from ideas or images that a legislative body thinks unsuitable for them. In most circumstances, the values protected by the First Amendment are no less applicable when government seeks to control the flow of information to minors." See also Tinker v. Des Moines School Dist., 393 U.S.503 (1969); West Virginia Bd. of Ed. v. Barnette, 319 U.S. 624 (1943); AAMA v. Kendrick, 244 F.3d 572 (7th Cir. 2001).

3 "Privacy: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights (<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations/privacy>)," adopted June 19, 2002, by the ALA Council; amended July 1, 2014; and June 24, 2019.

4 "Libraries: An American Value (<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/americanvalue>)," adopted on

Mary Collins, Chairperson
Brennan Peaslee, Vice Chairperson
Sandrea Taliaferro
Bob Ouellette
Robert DeColfmacker

Adopted by the Board: 14 March 2001
Revised by the Board: 6 May 2002
Revised by the Board: 16 May 2012
Approved: January 3, 2023

February 3, 1999, by ALA Council.

5 "Rating Systems: An Interpretation of the Library Bill of Rights (<http://www.ala.org/advocacy/intfreedom/librarybill/interpretations/rating-systems>)," adopted on June 30, 2015, by ALA Council; amended June 25, 2019.

Adopted June 30, 1972, by the ALA Council; amended July 1, 1981; July 3, 1991; June 30, 2004; July 2, 2008 under previous name "Free Access to Libraries for Minors"; July 1, 2014; and June 25, 2019.

Appendix B the Freedom to Read statement

The freedom to read is essential to our democracy. It is continuously under attack. Private groups and public authorities in various parts of the country are working to remove or limit access to reading materials, to censor content in schools, to label "controversial" views, to distribute lists of "objectionable" books or authors, and to purge libraries. These actions apparently rise from a view that our national tradition of free expression is no longer valid; that censorship and suppression are needed to counter threats to safety or national security, as well as to avoid the subversion of politics and the corruption of morals. We, as individuals devoted to reading and as librarians and publishers responsible for disseminating ideas, wish to assert the public interest in the preservation of the freedom to read.

Most attempts at suppression rest on a denial of the fundamental premise of democracy: that the ordinary individual, by exercising critical judgment, will select the good and reject the bad. We trust Americans to recognize propaganda and misinformation, and to make their own decisions about what they read and believe. We do not believe they are prepared to sacrifice their heritage of a free press in order to be "protected" against what others think may be bad for them. We believe they still favor free enterprise in ideas and expression.

These efforts at suppression are related to a larger pattern of pressures being brought against education, the press, art and images, films, broadcast media, and the Internet. The problem is not only one of actual censorship. The shadow of fear cast by these pressures leads, we suspect, to an even larger voluntary curtailment of expression by those who seek to avoid controversy or unwelcome scrutiny by government officials.

Such pressure toward conformity is perhaps natural to a time of accelerated change. And yet suppression is never more dangerous than in such a time of social tension. Freedom has given the United States the elasticity to endure strain. Freedom keeps open the path of novel and creative solutions, and enables change to come by choice. Every silencing of a heresy, every enforcement of an orthodoxy, diminishes the toughness and resilience of our society and leaves it the less able to deal with controversy and difference.

Now as always in our history, reading is among our greatest freedoms. The freedom to read and write is almost the only means for making generally available ideas or manners of expression that can initially command only a small audience. The written word is the natural medium for the new idea and the

Mary Collins, Chairperson
Brennan Peaslee, Vice Chairperson
Sandrea Taliaferro
Bob Ouellette
Robert DeColfmacker

Adopted by the Board: 14 March 2001
Revised by the Board: 6 May 2002
Revised by the Board: 16 May 2012
Approved: January 3, 2023

untried voice from which come the original contributions to social growth. It is essential to the extended discussion that serious thought requires, and to the accumulation of knowledge and ideas into organized collections.

We believe that free communication is essential to the preservation of a free society and a creative culture. We believe that these pressures toward conformity present the danger of limiting the range and variety of inquiry and expression on which our democracy and our culture depend. We believe that every American community must jealously guard the freedom to publish and to circulate, in order to preserve its own freedom to read. We believe that publishers and librarians have a profound responsibility to give validity to that freedom to read by making it possible for the readers to choose freely from a variety of offerings.

The freedom to read is guaranteed by the Constitution. Those with faith in free people will stand firm on these constitutional guarantees of essential rights and will exercise the responsibilities that accompany these rights. We therefore affirm these propositions:

1. *It is in the public interest for publishers and librarians to make available the widest diversity of views and expressions, including those that are unorthodox, unpopular, or considered dangerous by the majority.*

Creative thought is by definition new, and what is new is different. The bearer of every new thought is a rebel until that idea is refined and tested. Totalitarian systems attempt to maintain themselves in power by the ruthless suppression of any concept that challenges the established orthodoxy. The power of a democratic system to adapt to change is vastly strengthened by the freedom of its citizens to choose widely from among conflicting opinions offered freely to them. To stifle every nonconformist idea at birth would mark the end of the democratic process. Furthermore, only through the constant activity of weighing and selecting can the democratic mind attain the strength demanded by times like these. We need to know not only what we believe but why we believe it. 2.

2. *Publishers, librarians, and booksellers do not need to endorse every idea or presentation they make available. It would conflict with the public interest for them to establish their own political, moral, or aesthetic views as a standard for determining what should be published or circulated.*

Publishers and librarians serve the educational process by helping to make available knowledge and ideas required for the growth of the mind and the increase of learning. They do not foster education by imposing as mentors the patterns of their own thought. The people should have the freedom to read and consider a broader range of ideas than those that may be held by any single librarian or publisher or government or church. It is wrong that what one can read should be confined to what another thinks proper.

3. *It is contrary to the public interest for publishers or librarians to bar access to writings on the basis of the personal history or political affiliations of the author.*

Mary Collins, Chairperson
Brennan Peaslee, Vice Chairperson
Sandra Taliaferro
Bob Ouellette
Robert DeColfmacker

Adopted by the Board: 14 March 2001
Revised by the Board: 6 May 2002
Revised by the Board: 16 May 2012
Approved: January 3, 2023

No art or literature can flourish if it is to be measured by the political views or private lives of its creators. No society of free people can flourish that draws up lists of writers to whom it will not listen, whatever they may have to say.

4. *There is no place in our society for efforts to coerce the taste of others, to confine adults to the reading matter deemed suitable for adolescents, or to inhibit the efforts of writers to achieve artistic expression.*

To some, much of modern expression is shocking. But is not much of life itself shocking? We cut off literature at the source if we prevent writers from dealing with the stuff of life. Parents and teachers have a responsibility to prepare the young to meet the diversity of experiences in life to which they will be exposed, as they have a responsibility to help them learn to think critically for themselves. These are affirmative responsibilities, not to be discharged simply by preventing them from reading works for which they are not yet prepared. In these matters values differ, and values cannot be legislated; nor can machinery be devised that will suit the demands of one group without limiting the freedom of others. 5.

5. *It is not in the public interest to force a reader to accept the prejudgment of a label characterizing any expression or its author as subversive or dangerous.*

The ideal of labeling presupposes the existence of individuals or groups with wisdom to determine by authority what is good or bad for others. It presupposes that individuals must be directed in making up their minds about the ideas they examine. But Americans do not need others to do their thinking for them.

6. *It is the responsibility of publishers and librarians, as guardians of the people's freedom to read, to contest encroachments upon that freedom by individuals or groups seeking to impose their own standards or tastes upon the community at large; and by the government whenever it seeks to reduce or deny public access to public information.*

It is inevitable in the give and take of the democratic process that the political, the moral, or the aesthetic concepts of an individual or group will occasionally collide with those of another individual or group. In a free society individuals are free to determine for themselves what they wish to read, and each group is free to determine what it will recommend to its freely associated members. But no group has the right to take the law into its own hands, and to impose its own concept of politics or morality upon other members of a democratic society. Freedom is no freedom if it is accorded only to the accepted and the inoffensive. Further, democratic societies are more safe, free, and creative when the free flow of public information is not restricted by governmental prerogative or self-censorship.

7. *It is the responsibility of publishers and librarians to give full meaning to the freedom to read by providing books that enrich the quality and diversity of thought and expression. By the exercise of this affirmative responsibility, they can demonstrate that the answer to a "bad" book is a good one, the answer to a "bad" idea is a good one.*

Mary Collins, Chairperson
Brennan Peaslee, Vice Chairperson
Sandrea Taliaferro
Bob Ouellette
Robert DeColfmacker

Adopted by the Board: 14 March 2001
Revised by the Board: 6 May 2002
Revised by the Board: 16 May 2012
Approved: January 3, 2023

The freedom to read is of little consequence when the reader cannot obtain matter fit for that reader's purpose. What is needed is not only the absence of restraint, but the positive provision of opportunity for the people to read the best that has been thought and said. Books are the major channel by which the intellectual inheritance is handed down, and the principal means of its testing and growth. The defense of the freedom to read requires of all publishers and librarians the utmost of their faculties, and deserves of all Americans the fullest of their support.

We state these propositions neither lightly nor as easy generalizations. We here stake out a lofty claim for the value of the written word. We do so because we believe that it is possessed of enormous variety and usefulness, worthy of cherishing and keeping free. We realize that the application of these propositions may mean the dissemination of ideas and manners of expression that are repugnant to many persons.

We do not state these propositions in the comfortable belief that what people read is unimportant. We believe rather that what people read is deeply important; that ideas can be dangerous; but that the suppression of ideas is fatal to a democratic society. Freedom itself is a dangerous way of life, but it is ours.

This statement was originally issued in May of 1953 by the Westchester Conference of the American Library Association and the American Book Publishers Council, which in 1970 consolidated with the American Educational Publishers Institute to become the Association of American Publishers. Adopted June 25, 1953, by the ALA Council and the AAP Freedom to Read Committee; amended January 28, 1972; January 16, 1991; July 12, 2000; June 30, 2004.

American Library Association (/) Association of American Publishers (<http://www.publishers.org/>)

Subsequently endorsed by:

American Booksellers for Free Expression (<http://www.bookweb.org/abfe>) The Association of American University Presses (<http://www.aaupnet.org/>) The Children's Book Council (<http://www.cbcbooks.org/>) Freedom to Read Foundation (<http://www.ftrf.org>) National Association of College Stores (<http://www.nacs.org/>) National Coalition Against Censorship (<http://www.ncac.org/>) National Council of Teachers of English (<http://www.ncte.org/>) The Thomas Jefferson Center for the Protection of Free Expression.

Mary Collins, Chairperson
Brennan Peaslee, Vice Chairperson
Sandrea Taliaferro
Bob Ouellette
Robert DeColfmacker

Adopted by the Board: 14 March 2001
Revised by the Board: 6 May 2002
Revised by the Board: 16 May 2012
Approved: January 3, 2023